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#### Abstract

Recently, Emma Lehmer constructed a parametric family of units in real quintic fields of prime conductor $p=t^{4}+5 t^{3}+15 t^{2}+25 t+25$ as translates of Gaussian periods. Later, Schoof and Washington showed that these units were fundamental units. In this note, we observe that Lehmer's family comes from the covering of modular curves $X_{1}(25) \rightarrow X_{0}(25)$. This gives a conceptual explanation for the existence of Lehmer's units: they are modular units (which have been studied extensively). By relating Lehmer's construction with ours, one finds expressions for certain Gauss sums as values of modular units on $X_{1}(25)$.


## 1. LEHMER'S POLYNOMIAL

Throughout the discussion, we fix a choice $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ of primitive $n$th roots of unity for each $n$, say by $\zeta_{n}=e^{2 \pi i / n}$.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{5}(Y, T)= & Y^{5}+T^{2} Y^{4}-2\left(T^{3}+3 T^{2}+5 T+5\right) Y^{3} \\
& +\left(T^{4}+5 T^{3}+11 T^{2}+15 T+5\right) Y^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& +\left(T^{3}+4 T^{2}+10 T+10\right) Y+1
\end{align*}
$$

be the quintic polynomial constructed in [5]. The discriminant of $P_{5}(Y, T)$, viewed as a polynomial in $Y$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}(T)$, is

$$
D(T)=\left(T^{3}+5 T^{2}+10 T+7\right)^{2}\left(T^{4}+5 T^{3}+15 T^{2}+25 T+25\right)^{4}
$$

The projective curve $C$ in $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ defined by the affine equation (1) has three nodal singularities whose $T$-coordinates are the roots of the first factor of $D(T)$. The points $(y, t)$, where $t$ is a root of the second factor, are branch points for the covering of $C$ onto the $T$-line.

As shown in [5], the polynomial $P_{5}(Y, T)$ defines a regular Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}(T)$ with Galois group $\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z}$. By the analysis above, it is ramified at the four conjugate points $T=-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{2},-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-1}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-2}$, the zeros of the

[^0]minimal polynomial
$$
T^{4}+5 T^{3}+15 T^{2}+25 T+25
$$
(Here $\sqrt{5}$ denotes the positive square root.) If $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ is chosen so that
$$
p=t^{4}+5 t^{3}+15 t^{2}+25 t+25
$$
is prime (hence, in particular, $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ ), then the roots $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{5}$ of $P_{5}(Y, t)$ are translates of Gaussian periods:
$$
r_{i}=(t / 5) \eta_{i}+\left[(t / 5)-t^{2}\right] / 5
$$
where $\eta_{j}=\sum_{x \in \Gamma_{j}} \zeta_{p}^{x}$ and $\Gamma_{j}$ denotes the $j$ th coset of $(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{* 5}$ in $(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}$.
Since $C$ admits a five-to-one map to $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ which is totally ramified at four points, the geometric genus of $C$ is 4 by the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem. On the other hand, $C$ is realized as a plane curve of degree $d=6$, and its arithmetic genus is $(d-1)(d-2) / 2=10$. Let $C^{\prime}$ denote the normalization of $C$; it is a smooth projective curve of genus 4 . The covering $C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1}$ defines a Galois covering of $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ with Galois group $\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z}$, and has the following properties:

1. It is ramified only over the four closed points in $R=\left\{-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{2}\right.$, $\left.-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-1}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-2}\right\}$.
2. The closed points of the fiber above $\infty \in \mathbf{P}_{1}$ are rational.

Proposition 1.1. Properties 1 and 2 determine the covering $C^{\prime}$ uniquely up to Q-isomorphism.
Proof. Let $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}-R\right)$ be the projective line with the points of $R$ removed, viewed as a curve over $\mathbf{Q}$. The space $V=H_{e t}^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}-R, \mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a vector space of dimension 3 over $\mathbf{F}_{5}$, and is endowed with a natural action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$. In fact, one has

$$
V=H_{e t}^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}-R, \mu_{5}\right) \otimes \mu_{5}^{-1}
$$

where $\mu_{5}$ denotes the group scheme of 5th roots of unity. By Kummer theory, $H_{e t}^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}-R, \mu_{5}\right)$ is identified with the subspace of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}(T)^{*} / \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(T)^{* 5}$ spanned by the elements

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(T+\zeta_{5} \sqrt{5}\right) /\left(T-\zeta_{5}^{2} \sqrt{5}\right), & \left(T-\zeta_{5}^{2} \sqrt{5}\right) /\left(T+\zeta_{5}^{-1} \sqrt{5}\right) \\
\left(T+\zeta_{5}^{-1} \sqrt{5}\right) /\left(T-\zeta_{5}^{-2} \sqrt{5}\right), & \left(T-\zeta_{5}^{-2} \sqrt{5}\right) /\left(T+\zeta_{5} \sqrt{5}\right)
\end{array}
$$

whose product is 1 . Hence the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$ on $H_{e t}^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}-R, \mu_{5}\right)$ factors through $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right) / \mathbf{Q}\right)$, and is isomorphic to the regular representation of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right) / \mathbf{Q}\right)$ minus the trivial representation. It follows that $V$ decomposes as a direct sum of three irreducible one-dimensional Galois representations,

$$
V=V_{0} \oplus V^{\omega} \oplus V^{\omega^{2}}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the trivial representation, and $V^{\omega}, V^{\omega^{2}}$ denote one-dimensional spaces on which $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right) / \mathbf{Q}\right)$ acts via the Teichmüller character $\omega$ and the
square of the Teichmüller character $\omega^{2}$, respectively. In particular, $V_{0}$ is the unique one-dimensional subspace of $V$ which is fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$. But the cyclic quintic coverings of $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ which are Galois over $\mathbf{Q}$ and unramified outside $R$ correspond exactly to such subspaces. Hence, property 1 determines $C^{\prime}$ uniquely as a curve over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. (Alternatively, one could use the "rigidity criterion" of Matzat, cf. [6, p. 368].) It is not hard to see that there is a unique rational form of the covering $C^{\prime}$ such that the closed points above $\infty \in \mathbf{P}_{1}$ are all rational (twisting this rational form by a cocycle $c$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}, \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\prime} / \mathbf{P}_{1}\right)\right)$ will cause these points to be defined over the larger extension "cut out" by $c)$. Thus, property 2 determines $C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1}$ up to $\mathbf{Q}$-isomorphism.

## 2. A modular covering interpretation of Lehmer's quintic

We assume in this section some basic facts about modular forms and the geometry of modular curves. A good reference for this material is [7].

Let $X_{0}(25)$ and $X_{1}(25)$ denote the modular curves of level 25, compactified by adjoining a finite set of cusps. The curve $X_{0}(25)$ is of genus 0 and is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. The covering $X_{1}(25) \rightarrow X_{0}(25)$ is Galois with Galois group canonically isomorphic to $G=(\mathbf{Z} / 25 \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\langle \pm 1\rangle$. The quotient $X$ of $X_{1}(25)$ by the involution $7 \in G$ gives a cyclic covering of $X_{0}(25)$ of degree 5.

Let $T_{5}=\eta(z) / \eta(25 z)$ and $F_{5}=(\eta(z) / \eta(5 z))^{6}$ be Hauptmoduls for $X_{0}(25)$ and $X_{0}(5)$, respectively. One has

$$
F_{5}=T_{5}^{5} /\left(T_{5}^{4}+5 T_{5}^{3}+15 T_{5}^{2}+25 T_{5}+25\right)
$$

The curve $X_{0}(5)$ has two cusps $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ corresponding to the values $F_{5}=0$ and $F_{5}=\infty$, respéctively. Hence, $X_{0}(25)$ has six cusps: a unique one lying above $C_{1}$, corresponding to $T_{5}=0$; and five cusps above $C_{2}$, given by $T_{5}=$ $\infty,-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{2},-\sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-1}, \sqrt{5} \zeta_{5}^{-2}$ (cf. [1]). The covering $X \rightarrow X_{0}(25)$ is ramified at the four nonrational cusps, and the fiber above the cusp $T_{5}=\infty$ is composed of rational points (cf. [1, p. 226]). By Proposition 1.1, $X$ can be described by Lehmer's quintic; the zeros $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{5}$ of $P_{5}\left(Y, T_{5}\right)$ are modular functions on $X_{1}(25)$ (in fact, on $X$ ) with divisor supported at the $P_{i}$, where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$ are the closed points of $X$ which lie above the cusp $T_{5}=\infty$ of $X_{0}(25)$. By using Hensel's lemma to solve explicitly the equation $P_{5}\left(Y, T_{5}\right)=$ 0 , one obtains the following $q$-expansions for the $r_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=-q^{3}+q^{4}+q^{10}-q^{11}-q^{12}+q^{13}-q^{15}+q^{17}+\cdots \\
& r_{2}=q^{-1}+1+q^{6}+q^{7}-q^{10}-q^{11}+\cdots \\
& r_{3}=-q-q^{3}+q^{4}+q^{6}-q^{12}-q^{14}+q^{18}+q^{20} \cdots  \tag{2}\\
& r_{4}=-q^{-2}-q-q^{2}-q^{5}+q^{15}+q^{17}+q^{18} \cdots \\
& r_{5}=q^{-1}+q^{5}+q^{7}-q^{8}-q^{12}+q^{13}-q^{14}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

By [8, p. 548], the transformation

$$
r \mapsto \frac{\left(T_{5}+2\right)+T_{5} r-r^{2}}{1+\left(T_{5}+2\right) r}
$$

permutes the roots of $P\left(Y, T_{5}\right)$ cyclically; one can thus label the $r_{i}$ in such a way that a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X / X_{0}(25)\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z}$ sends $r_{i}$ to $r_{i+1}$, where the subscripts are taken modulo 5. The five cusps of $X$ lying above the cusp $T_{5}=\infty$ are permuted cyclically by the Galois group of $X$ over $X_{0}(25)$. By considering the $q$-expansions above, we may fix a labelling of the cusps $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$ so that a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X / X_{0}(25)\right)$ sends $P_{i}$ to $P_{i+1}$ and such that

$$
\operatorname{Divisor}\left(r_{1}\right)=3 P_{1}-P_{2}+P_{3}-2 P_{4}-P_{5}
$$

Now, let $a$ belong to $\mathbf{Z} / 25 \mathbf{Z}$, and define

$$
\wp_{a}(\tau)=\wp(a / 25 ; \tau),
$$

where

$$
\wp(z ; \tau)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}-0}\left(\frac{1}{(z-n-m \tau)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(n+m \tau)^{2}}\right)
$$

is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function. It is well known that the functions

$$
\wp_{a, b}(\tau)=\wp_{a}(\tau)-\wp_{b}(\tau)
$$

are modular units on $X_{1}(25)$. The divisors of these functions are computed in [1]. In particular, we find that

$$
\text { Divisor }\left(\frac{\wp_{7,9} \wp_{6,3} \wp_{1,12} \wp_{8,4}}{\wp_{1,3} \wp_{7,4} \wp_{6,7} \wp_{8,1}}\right)=3 P_{1}-P_{2}+P_{3}-2 P_{4}-P_{5}
$$

where the $P_{i}$ denote the cusps on $X$ which are above the cusp $\infty$ of $X_{0}(25)$. By expressing the function on the left in terms of so-called Klein forms $t_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)}$ (cf. [2]), the above simplifies to give

$$
\text { Divisor }\left(\frac{t_{(0,1)} t_{(0,7)}}{t_{(0,9)} t_{(0,12)}}\right)=3 P_{1}-P_{2}+P_{3}-2 P_{4}-P_{5}
$$

Let us abbreviate $t_{(0, a)}$ to $t_{a}$. By comparing divisors and $q$-expansions, one finds the following infinite product expressions for the $r_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\frac{t_{1} t_{7}}{t_{9} t_{12}}(25 \tau)=-q^{3} \prod_{n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) / \prod_{n \equiv \pm 9, \pm 12(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) \\
& r_{2}=\frac{t_{2} t_{11}}{t_{1} t_{7}}(25 \tau)=q^{-1} \prod_{n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 11(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) / \prod_{n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{3}=\frac{t_{4} t_{3}}{t_{11} t_{2}}(25 \tau)=-q \prod_{n \equiv \pm 4, \pm 3(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) / \prod_{n \equiv \pm 11, \pm 2(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right), \\
& r_{4}=\frac{t_{8} t_{6}}{t_{3} t_{4}}(25 \tau)=-q^{-2} \prod_{n \equiv \pm 8, \pm 6(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) / \prod_{n \equiv \pm 3, \pm 4(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right), \\
& r_{5}=\frac{t_{9} t_{12}}{t_{6} t_{8}}(25 \tau)=q^{-1} \prod_{n \equiv \pm 9, \pm 12(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) / \prod_{n \equiv \pm 6, \pm 8(25)}\left(1-q^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{1}(25) / X_{0}(25)\right)=(\mathbf{Z} / 25 Z)^{*} /\langle \pm 1\rangle$ acts on the $t_{a}$ by multiplying the subscripts (which are viewed as belonging to (Z/25Z)* $/\langle \pm 1\rangle$ ). Hence, to go from $r_{i}$ to $r_{i+1}$, one applies the Galois automorphism $2 \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X / X_{0}(25)\right)=(\mathbf{Z} / 25 \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\langle \pm 1, \pm 7\rangle$.

## 3. Gauss sums

Given a prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$, let $\Psi_{p}: \mathbf{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*}$ be the additive character sending 1 to $\zeta_{p}$. We consider the Gauss sum

$$
g(p)=\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_{p}} \chi(x) \Psi_{p}(x)
$$

where $\chi$ is a character of $\mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}$ of order 5 . The value of $g(p)$ is independent of $\chi$, up to the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right) / \mathbf{Q}\right)$.

By combining Lehmer's explicit determination of the roots of her polynomial as Gaussian periods, and our identification of these roots with certain modular forms of level 25, we obtain:
Theorem 3.1. If $\eta(\tau) / \eta(25 \tau)=n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\eta(5 \tau)^{6} /\left(\eta(\tau) \eta(25 \tau)^{5}\right)=p$ is prime, then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\eta(\tau) / \eta(25 \tau)-\sigma_{i}^{-1}\left(\zeta_{5} \sqrt{5}\right)\right)^{i / 5}=(n / 5) g(p)
$$

where $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right) / \mathbf{Q}\right)$ sends $\zeta_{5}$ to $\zeta_{5}^{i}$.
There is some ambiguity in the formula, since the value of $g(p)$ depends on the choice of a multiplicative character $\chi$, and the left-hand side is really only defined up to a fifth root of 1 . We are asserting that there is a way of making these choices so that the formula holds.

Observe that the left-hand side is a modular unit (i.e., a unit for the covering $\left.X_{1}(25) \rightarrow X_{0}(1)\right)$. Thus the above expresses Gauss sums as values of certain modular units on $X_{1}(25)$. It seems that the other coverings of lower degree studied by Lehmer yield similar results. It would be interesting to obtain such formulas a priori: this might provide a justification for the fact that translates of Gaussian period polynomials yield cyclic units for extensions of small degree.

Note. The idea of studying families of units in cyclic extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$ arising from the modular covering $X_{1}(N) \rightarrow X_{0}(N)$ has been explored by Odile

Lecacheux (see, for example, the paper [3], which studies units in sextic extensions which arise from the modular covering $\left.X_{1}(13) \rightarrow X_{0}(13)\right)$. Independently of the author, Lecacheux has also observed the connection between Lehmer's quintic and the modular curve $X_{1}(25)$ [4].
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